

Game Theory	<p>Strategy: algorithm whose input is game state and output is action: pure or mixed</p> <p>Dominant strategy equilibrium if one action is better no matter other players action</p> <p>Nash equilibrium if the optimal strategy depends on what the other player does</p> <p>In fairmen, greedies, modest game, fairmen dominate if they are more than 1/3 of the initial population, but can be smaller if recognisable</p> <p>Prisoners dilemma: fix with externalities (e.g. laws), or iterated dilemma (tit-for-tat)</p>	<p>capture consumer surplus is Pareto efficient</p> <p>Rent seeking: efforts directed at keeping claims to factors of production in fixed supplies</p> <p>Elasticity: $\frac{\% \Delta Q}{\% \Delta P}$, price increase increases revenue when < 1</p> <p>Short term cost strongly hyperbolic, long term shows [dis]economies of scale</p> <p>AFC falls with output, AVC rises eventually (e.g. overtime)</p> <p>In competitive market (firms price takers) profit maximised when marginal cost = price</p> <p>Ricardo: comparative advantage due to opportunity cost of domestic production</p> <p>Under perfect competition, free trade results in optimal production levels etc</p> <p>Market equilibrium is Pareto optimal, any such optimal allocation can be achieved by market forces providing that preferences are convex</p>
Preferences	<p>$(x_1, x_2) \succ (y_1, y_2)$: xs preferred</p> <p>$(x_1, x_2) \cong (y_1, y_2)$: indifferent</p> <p>Can draw isoquants (indifference curves) on consumption possib. frontier</p> <p>Substitutes: straight isoquants</p> <p>Complements: right angled isoquants (same # of both)</p> <p>Bads: isoquants gradient +VE</p> <p>Alternatives: convex isoquants</p> <p>Satiety: circular isoquants around a central bliss point</p> <p>Tangent to a isoquants is the marginal rate of substitution: rate at which trades occur</p> <p>MRS diminishes with absolute quantity increases</p>	<p>Macro-economics</p> <p>Keynes: saving during recession crushes demand, low interest rates not enough, spend to get out of recession</p> <p>Smith: growth due to capital accumulation over time</p> <p>Marx: progress causes unemployment then revolution</p> <p>Pigou: diminishing MU of money justifies progression</p> <p>Arrows Impossibility Theorem: no way to aggregate individual preferences in a way that is consistent with democracy</p> <p>Utilitarianism: sum of individual utility functions</p> <p>Rawlsian: minimum of individual utilities</p> <p>Conflict theory: poor fight harder for welfare than the rich fight to deny it (featuritis)</p> <p>Tragedy of the commons</p> <p>Not sold in markets, side effects: competitive outcomes not likely to be Pareto efficient</p> <p>Theoretically fixable by using</p>
Marginal Utility	<p>Rate of change of utility wrt. to parameter, so MRS is the division of two MU: $\frac{-MU_1}{MU_2}$</p> <p>Cobb-Douglas: $U(x_1, x_2) = x_1^c x_2^d$</p> <p>Explains why frivolous goods e.g. fashion so expensive</p> <p>Marx: capitalist gets surplus value of workers labour free</p>	<p>Social Utility (Welfare)</p>
Markets	<p>Supply, demand curves</p> <p>Production, consumption possibility frontiers</p> <p>Market for lemons: bad products drive out good ones</p> <p>Pareto improvement: make some people better off without making others worse off</p> <p>Monopolists can make more money by restricting supply</p> <p>Perfect price discrimination to</p>	<p>Externialit.</p>

	<p>property rights</p> <p>Public goods: non-excludable, causes free riding</p>		
Lock-In	<p>Durable complementary assets</p> <p>Skills, services</p> <p>Net present value of your customer base is the total cost of their switching</p> <p>Asymmetric switching costs, e.g. mobile phone providers, but capital depreciates..</p>	Combinat. Auctions	<p>Bidding rings, predation, sniping, risk averse bidders (bid higher at first price auction), signalling, objects sold simultaneously, budget constraints, externalities</p> <p>Bid is a disjunction of prices for combinations of items</p> <p>Used for routing problems</p>
Network Externalit.	<p>Metcalfes Law: network value proportional to the square of the number of users</p> <p>"Virtual networks" depend on complements: PCs + software</p> <p>Create positive feedback, first mover advantage</p> <p>Mitigations: industry reaction, antitrust, technology progress</p>	Intellectual Property	<p>Patents, copyright, trademarks, trade secrets</p> <p>Trade secret: leaks eventually, reverse engineering problem</p> <p>Patent: novel, useful, non-obvious, per country, right to sue infringers of monopoly</p> <p>Trademark: registered ® or not ™, can sue infringers if misrepresentation</p> <p>Copyright: protects expression, not the underlying ideas, not necessarily registered but asserting helps give legal basis, life plus 70, fair use (criticism, parody)</p>
Price Discriminat.	<p>Personalised pricing (haggling, loyalty cards), versioning (first class), group pricing (student)</p> <p>Public reaction a problem, conceal by bundling</p>	Patents In IT	<p>Software patents allowed in US, not Europe</p> <p>Portfolios defensive, get access to other companies by cross-licensing, lock-in!</p> <p>DMCA: offence to circumvent copyright control mechanism</p> <p>TPC: lock-in due to app data lockdown, sovereignty issues, censorship, trusted viruses..</p>
Asymmetric Information	<p>Sellers can offer warranty as a signal for product quality</p> <p>Adverse selection (made before entering system), moral hazard (result of entering): leads to surveillance, rationing</p>	Contracts	<p>Commercial, offer+acceptance</p> <p>Terms can be attached to an offer, with protection (e.g. cannot limit liability)</p> <p>Sale of good acts: basic default terms covering sales</p> <p>Can specify jurisdiction of a contract, but foreign judgements may not apply unless you have assets there: contesting a foreign case will make you liable at home!</p> <p>Contract can specify you accept the foreign jurisdiction</p>
Auctions	<p>Ascending, descending bid</p> <p>First, second price sealed bid</p> <p>All-pay: price raised by increments, pay to stay in</p> <p>Strategic equivalence</p> <p>Always best to bid truthfully in an ascending price auction</p> <p>Revenue equivalence theorem: under ideal conditions (inc. risk neutral bidders) well behaved (inc. bidder with highest value gets the good, bidder with lowest gets zero surplus: reserve price)</p> <p>auctions yield same revenues</p>	Tort	<p>Defamation, libel, slander</p> <p>Copyright: without © infringers can claim ignorance</p>
Auction Problems	<p>Private value: exogenously determined value, everything you buy is a bargain</p> <p>Common value: person who wins is that who most overestimates the price</p>		

Regulation

Consumer protection: things like putting addr. on website
Hiring, credit have much regulation: follow it or your own rights disregarded

Laws

Criminal Evidence: ensure computer records admissible
Data Protection: fairly lawfully processed, limited purposes, adequate relevant and not excessive, accurate, not kept longer than necessary, processed wrt. subject rights, secure, not transferred to countries w/out protection
Computer Misuse:
unauthorised access to program or data, poss. with intent to commit another serious offence, unauthorised modification (viruses): must clearly indicate 'unauthorised'
Electronic Communications: digital signatures admissible
RIP: interception (except delivered, stored data, lawful business practice but must make effort to tell those effected), surveillance, encryption (fine, but can be required to decrypt), oversight by secretary of state
Distance Selling: seller must identify themselves, contract details delivered, cancel right
E-Commerce: online selling and advertising subject to UK law in the UK no matter who the buyer is
Privacy & Electronic Communications: bans unsolicited email to natural persons, cookies must be transparent (at least a choice)